

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	09/284,581 04/15/1999		ANSGAR DIRKMANN	P99.0498	2526	
	7590 10/21/2003			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Brett C Martin 1650 Tysons Boulevard McLean, VA 22102				MEHRA, INDER P		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	McLean, VA	22102		2666	101	
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	3 / 4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	09/284,581	DIRKMANN ET AL.	
<u>-</u>	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P Mehra	2666	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		-
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ıs.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 6-15			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
 10.	,		
			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 6,10 and 11, Applicant argues that neither Tao, nor Wagner teach or suggest the features of intermittently and automatically maintaining a second connection. In response, it is stated that the limitation, "automatically" is not recited by claims 6, 10, and 11. Further, this limitation is not supported by specification, refer to Pre- Amendt. dated 4/15/99 Further, the limitation, "intermittently" is not recited by claims 6 and 10. Therefore, these are new issues which require additional new search..

DOOR FON PRINCENT WASHINGER